

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,340	01/20/2004	David M. Theobold	72255/00007 9806	
23380	7590 01/11/2006	EX		MINER
TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST LLP			VU, JIMMY T	
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	O, OH 44115-1475		2821	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/760,340	THEOBOLD ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jimmy T. Vu	2821			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILING DAILING OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amer	ndment filed 10/12/05.				
•	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-11 and 13-22 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>2,10,13 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>3-9,11,14-20 and 22</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/18/04</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/760,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-11 and 13-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Despite applicant's disagreement, the examiner decides to provide new rejection as below. Other references have been incorporated to strengthen the examiner's position with respect to the antenna system and the wireless access point.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 2, 10, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shapira (U.S. Patent number 6,697,641 B1).

Regarding claims 2 and 13, Shapira discloses an antenna system and a wireless access point comprising:

radio circuitry (900) for exchanging an electronic network signal with a wireless signal (Fig. 15);

Application/Control Number: 10/760,340

Art Unit: 2821

an antenna system ((Figs. 3-8) for sending and receiving wireless signals with a mobile client, the antenna system further comprising:

a plurality of antenna elements (131, 133, 902, 904, 906, 908) for providing a respective plurality of communications signals over a wireless channel; and

an isolating structure (206), selectively positioned with respect to the antenna elements, for selective varying signal isolation between the respective antenna elements.

wherein the isolating structure is adapted to selectively vary signal isolation so as to switch between a sectorized antenna configuration and an antenna array configuration (Figs. 3-8 and 15, col. 7, line20, col. 8, lines 30-35, col. 10, lines 45-67).

Regarding claims 10 and 21, Shapira discloses the antenna system and the wireless access point wherein the plurality of antenna elements provide wireless communications over a plurality of wireless channels (Figs. 3-8 and 15).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-9, 11, 14-20 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the prior art teaches the antenna system and wireless access point wherein the isolating structure is a removable structure, selectively received in a socket co-located with respect to the antenna elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,340

Art Unit: 2821

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy T Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1832. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 9 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Jimmy Vu

December 28, 2005

WILSON LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER